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Sleep problems in adolescence are prospectively linked to later depressive symptoms via the cortisol awakening response
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Abstract

Sleep disturbance is a symptom of and a well-known risk factor for depression. Further, atypical functioning of the HPA axis has been linked to the pathogenesis of depression. The purpose of this study was to examine the role of adolescent HPA axis functioning in the link between adolescent sleep problems and later depressive symptoms. Methods: A sample of 157 17–18 year old adolescents (61.8% female) completed the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory (PSQI) and provided salivary cortisol samples throughout the day for three consecutive days. Two years later, adolescents reported their depressive symptoms via the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Results: Individuals (age 17–18) with greater sleep disturbance reported greater depressive symptoms two years later (age 19–20). This association occurred through the indirect effect of sleep disturbance on the cortisol awakening response (CAR) (indirect effect = 0.14, 95%CI [.02 -.39]). Conclusions: One pathway through which sleep problems may lead to depressive symptoms is by up-regulating components of the body’s physiological stress response system that can be measured through the cortisol awakening response. Behavioral interventions that target sleep disturbance in adolescents may mitigate this neurobiological pathway to depression during this high-risk developmental phase.
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Depression accounts for nearly half of the global burden of disease associated with psychiatric disorders (Whiteford et al., 2013) and is among the most costly chronic health conditions to society (Merikangas et al., 2011). Risk for depression is low in childhood, rises dramatically during adolescence (Merikangas et al., 2010; Rohde, Lewinsohn, Klein, Seeley, & Gau, 2012), and peaks in early adulthood (ages 18–24; Rohde et al., 2012). The long-term consequences of depression during phases of important social and occupational development, such as adolescence, can be significant. Moreover, interventions targeting depressive symptoms during late adolescence are limited (e.g., TADS Team, 2007). Thus, identifying prospective risk factors for depression may help us to identify intervention targets that can mitigate risk during this key developmental phase. Sleep disturbance has been identified as one of these prospective risk factors. Yet, little is known about the psychophysiological processes through which sleep disturbances presage depression. One possibility is that persistent sleep disturbance alters the functioning of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, which has been implicated in the pathogenesis of depression (e.g., Baumeister, Lightman, & Pariente, 2014). The purpose of this study was to determine whether daily functioning of the HPA axis mediated the well-established link between sleep and depression over time.

Sleep disturbance is pervasive in depression, with 50%–90% of depressed patients reporting problems with sleep (Casper et al., 1985; Lewinsohn, Rohde, & Seeley, 1998; Soehner, Kaplan, & Harvey, 2014). However, sleep disturbance not only is a symptom of depression but also can act as a robust predictor (Baglioni et al., 2011; Cho et al., 2008; Irwin et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2013). Several studies in pediatric samples have shown that sleep problems prospectively predict depressive symptoms (Gregory et al., 2005; Raniti et al., 2017; Roberts & Duong, 2014). Adolescents who regularly sleep 8.5 hr per night are at the lowest risk for depression and anxiety (Ojio, Nishida, Shimojima, Togo, & Sasaki, 2016), and sleep disorders (e.g., pediatric sleep apnea) are prospectively associated with increased depression risk (Chang, Chen, & Liu, 2017). Further, experimental sleep deprivation has a negative effect on mood and emotion regulation (Palmer & Alfano, 2017). In contrast, effective treatment of sleep problems ameliorates depressive symptoms in adolescents (Blake, Sheeber, Youssef,
Raniti, & Allen, 2017) and adults (Ashworth et al., 2015; Ye et al.,
2015). Yet, the neurobiological processes through which the well-
established association between sleep disturbance and depression
occur remain unclear.

One pathway through which sleep disturbance may increase risk
for depression is through alterations to the functioning of the HPA
axis (Buckley & Schatzberg, 2005). In healthy individuals, cortisol
is highest in the morning, rises dramatically within the 30–45 min
after waking, and declines throughout the remainder of the day.
The initial rise in cortisol is referred to as the cortisol awakening
response (CAR), and the decline across the day is referred to as the
diurnal cortisol slope. Individuals reporting persistent sleep problems
exhibit a general upregulation in cortisol throughout the day, which is
the final secretory product of the axis. Total sleep time is negatively
correlated with circulating cortisol across the day (Chrousos, Vgontzas,
& Kritikou, 2016). Experimental sleep disruption in the form of repeated arousals throughout the night also induce elevated
cortisol the subsequent day (Chrousos et al., 2016), and individuals
reporting greater sleep disturbances demonstrate flatter cortisol
slopes across the day (Kumari et al., 2009; Zeiders, Doane, & Adam,
2011) and a larger CAR (Kumari et al., 2009). This may
occur in part through the exaggerating effect that sleep deprivation
has on receptors for adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) on the
adrenal cortex, which trigger the secretion of cortisol (Meerlo,
Sgoifo, & Suchecki, 2008; Suchecki, Lobo, Hipólide, & Tufik, 1998).
In turn, having a larger CAR has been identified as a prospective pre-
dictor of depressive symptoms (Hsiao et al., 2013; Kuhlman et al.,
2017), depressive episode onset 1 (Adam et al., 2010) and 2.5 years
later (Vrshek-Schallhorn et al., 2013), and depression recurrence
(Hardeveld et al., 2014). It is important to note, however, that
some studies have failed to observe this association (Carnegie et al.,
2014; LeMoult, Ordaz, Kircanski, Singh, & Gotlib, 2015) while others
have found the prospective association between CAR and depressive
symptoms varies by stress exposure (Schuler et al., 2017). To our
knowledge, only one study has tested HPA axis functioning as a
mediator between sleep disturbances and depressive symptoms,
finding that in prostate cancer survivors, diurnal cortisol slope
and total diurnal cortisol exposure indirectly linked sleep prob-
lems with depressive symptoms over 4 months (Hoyt, Bower,
Irwin, Weierich, & Stanton, 2016). However, we are unaware of
any studies examining these pathways in adolescents.

The purpose of this study was to determine whether CAR and
diurnal cortisol slope contribute to the association between sleep dis-
turbances in late adolescence and depressive symptoms in early
adulthood, when risk for depression is highest. In this study, we
focus on two indices of HPA axis functioning: CAR and diurnal
slope. CAR has been repeatedly identified as a prospective predictor
of depression (Adam et al., 2010; Kuhlman et al., 2017;
Vrshek-Schallhorn et al., 2013), and diurnal cortisol slope was
selected given that basal cortisol is consistently elevated in depressed
children and adolescents compared to controls (Lopez-Duran,
Kovacs, & George, 2009). We hypothesized that having more sleep
disturbance would be associated with higher depressive symptoms
2 years later, and that having a larger CAR and less decline in cortisol
from waking to bedtime would mediate this prospective association.

Method

Participants

Participants in the current study were from a longitudinal study
designed to understand how psychosocial factors, family processes,
and daily experiences contribute to early risk for poor health
(Chiang et al., 2017; Guan et al., 2016). Enrollment for the larger
study (n = 316) began in 10th and 11th grades and included
follow-up assessments 2 and 4 years after enrollment. The current
study focused on participants during the transition from late ado-
lescence to early adulthood (e.g., the second and third assessments
of the larger study), which spanned from 12th grade to 3 years
after high school. The inclusion criteria for the present study were hav-
ing at least 2 complete days of data for diurnal HPA axis function-
ing, sleep at the second assessment, and depressive symptoms at the
third and final assessment. Cases without complete data on any of
these variables were listwise deleted. Of note, no participants were
excluded for missing saliva samples, and all of the 157 participants
included had complete data for all 3 days of diurnal HPA axis reg-
ulation. At the second assessment, 53.5% of participants were
enrolled in high school, 36.9% were in college, and 9.6% were
not in school. Participants were from diverse backgrounds: 46.5%
of the sample was Latino, 14.0% was Asian, 31.2% was European,
and 8.3% reported “other” for ethnicity. This demographic distri-
bution is similar to that of the Los Angeles metropolitan area
(US Census Bureau, 2009). Adolescents in this sample had parents
with a wide range of educational backgrounds: 14.8% had parents
who did not complete high school, 15.6% had parents with a
high school diploma, 46.6% had parents with some college includ-
ing vocational training, and 23.0% had parents with a bachelor’s
degree or higher. There were no differences in household income,
FAM = .34, parent education, p = .16, body mass index (BMI), p = .42,
or depressive symptoms, p = .73, between the sample in the present
analyses and the 316 participants initially enrolled in the larger
study. However, the present analytic sample had a higher propor-
tion of female participants, χ² = 7.33, p = .007, and a nonsignifi-
cantly lower proportion of Asian participants than the initially
enrolled sample, χ² = 6.78, p = .079.

Procedures

Participants were recruited from four Los Angeles high schools that
were selected based on racial and ethnic diversity as well as the will-
ingness of the school to allow our team to recruit from their stu-
dent population. Recruitment involved in-class presentations,
distribution of study flyers and recruitment forms during presenta-
tions, and postal mailings to students’ homes. Study visits occurred
in participants’ homes between October and August (Chiang et al.,
2017). Adolescents completed all questionnaires using an electronic
tablet. Upon completion of the questionnaires, participants were instructed to collect
five saliva samples each day for the next 3 consecutive days (see
detail below). Study staff returned to participants’ homes to collect
completed materials, and adolescents were compensated for their
time. Data for the present analyses focused on self-reported sleep
disturbance, depressive symptoms, and salivary cortisol assessed
when participants were 17–18 years of age, and depressive symp-
toms assessed 2 years later, when participants were 19–20 years
old. See Figure 1 for a study procedures timeline.

Measures

Sleep disturbance

Participants completed the 19-item Pittsburgh Sleep Quality
Index (PSQI) about their sleep over the past month (Buysse,
2015). When accounting for whether assessments occurred during the regular school year
or during the summer, there were no changes to our results.
they were shipped for assay. Saliva samples were assayed for cortisol concentrations (nmol/L) by the Laboratory of Biological Psychology at the Technical University of Dresden, Germany, using high-sensitivity chemiluminescence-immunonasays (IBL International, Hamburg, Germany). All saliva samples for an individual were assayed on the same plate in duplicate, and coefficients of variation were <8%.

We computed two indices of diurnal HPA axis functioning: CAR and diurnal slope. Both indices were averages across the 3 sampling days to reduce the influence of day-to-day within-person variability on the indices (Adam & Kumari, 2009). CAR was computed by subtracting the concentration of salivary cortisol at waking from the concentration at 30 min postwaking to reflect reactivity of the system following waking (see Stalder et al., 2016, for CAR expert consensus guidelines). On average, the +30 min sample was collected 32 min (SD = 10) after waking. There were 12 participants whose 30-min postwaking sample was taken more than 15 min early or late, and 48 participants whose 30-min postwaking sample was taken more than 5 min early or late. There were no significant differences in CAR magnitude between participants with sample timings more than 15 min or 5 min late or early, $F (1, 155) = 1.28$, $p = .26$ and $F (1, 155) = 0.01$, $p = .92$, respectively. The pattern of results was not different when the number of minutes between the waking and 30-min postwaking sample was included as a covariate in the models. Consistent with previous studies using the CAR index (Kuhlman, Geiss, Vargas, & Lopez-Duran, 2015; Kuhlman et al., 2017; Kuhlman, Repetti, Reynolds, & Robles, 2016), negative CAR values were recoded as 0 to reduce the influence of a delay between actual waking and the waking saliva sample on CAR magnitude (Okun et al., 2010). We also computed the slope of diurnal cortisol decline throughout the day. Consistent with previous studies (Kuhlman et al., 2016, 2017), we subtracted cortisol concentrations at waking from cortisol concentrations at bedtime, and divided that value by the number of hours between the waking and bedtime samples.

Depressive symptoms
Depressive symptoms in the past week were assessed via the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). The CES-D is a 20-question, self-report instrument with excellent reliability and validity (Radloff, 1977). Scores on the CES-D can range from 0 to 60, and a score greater than 15 suggests clinically significant symptoms of depression (Radloff, 1977). The CES-D has demonstrated good sensitivity and specificity, with major depressive episodes determined via semistructured clinical interview (Mulrow et al., 1995; Stockings et al., 2015). This measure also demonstrated excellent internal reliability in the current sample, age 17–18 $\alpha = .91$ and age 19–20 $\alpha = .92$.

HPA axis functioning
Participants provided saliva samples using Salivettes (Sarstedt, Inc.) 5 times per day across 3 consecutive days: waking, 15 and 30 min after waking, before dinner, and at bedtime. They were instructed to collect saliva prior to eating, drinking, or brushing their teeth, to avoid tobacco and caffeinated products half an hour prior to collection, and to store samples in their refrigerators until study staff returned to collect the completed materials. To encourage compliance, reminders of when to provide saliva samples were sent via text throughout the day. Participants used electronic time stampers (Dymo Corporation, Stamford, CT) and stamping booklets to record time and completion of each saliva sample. The electronic time stampers were preset with a security code that precluded participants from tampering with the date and time. Returned saliva samples were stored at –80 °C until they were shipped for assay. Saliva samples were assayed for cortisol using high-sensitivity chemiluminescence-immunonasays (IBL International, Hamburg, Germany). All saliva samples for an individual were assayed on the same plate in duplicate, and coefficients of variation were <8%.

Data analysis
All continuous variables were examined for normality and found to be normally distributed. To test the hypothesized associations and mediating processes between late adolescent sleep problems, CAR, and later depressive symptoms, we fit a mediator model with bootstrap resampling for assessing indirect effects (Hayes, 2013; Preacher & Hayes, 2008). There are several methods of statistically testing mediation (see MacKinnon, Fairchild, & Fritz, 2007, for a thorough review and comparison of statistical approaches to mediation). The most traditional has been the causal steps approach. This approach requires that first there be a significant effect of the independent variable (IV) on the dependent variable (DV), a significant effect of the IV on the mediator...
(M), and a significant effect of M on the DV. Theoretically, the difference in the effect of the IV on the DV with and without M can then be used to infer mediation (MacKinnon et al., 2007). However, the requirement of these three significant pathways in any given data set substantially reduces the power to detect mediation, contributing to Type II errors. The currently recommended method for testing mediation instead uses bootstrap resampling, which does not require a significant effect of the IV on the DV to test for mediation (although a theoretical association is critical for study design and interpretation of the results). Instead, the product of the effect of the IV on M and M on the DV when accounting for the IV can be used to infer mediation. The relative mathematical equivalence of these (difference vs. product) approaches has been well established, despite their differences in assumptions, power, and utility (MacKinnon et al., 2007).

In the present analyses, we employed the PROCESS macro for SPSS developed by Preacher and Hayes (2008). All analyses generated 95% bias-corrected and accelerated bootstrap confidence intervals (CIs) for the indirect effects using 5,000 bootstrap samples. In this model, the independent variable (IV: sleep problems) affects the dependent variable (DV: depressive symptoms) through the mediator (CAR or diurnal slope). The indirect effect of the mediator is its unique effect on the outcome (Preacher & Hayes, 2008). This approach uses ordinary least squares regression to establish the association between (a) the IV (sleep problems) and the mediator (CAR), (b) the mediator and the DV (depressive symptoms) while accounting for the IV, (c) the IV and the DV, and (d) ab, the cross-product of a and b. If the upper and lower bounds of the bootstrap CI for ab do not contain zero, the mediated effect is considered statistically significant (Hayes, 2013).

We also explored the impact of several potential covariates on the key variables in our models using bivariate $\chi^2$, Pearson correlations, or analysis of variance depending on variable structure. These included sociodemographic (sex, parent education, household income, and ethnicity), theoretical (depressive symptoms at the time of the sleep and HPA axis assessment and BMI), and methodological variables (time between the sleep/HPA axis and final depressive symptoms assessment, waking time on saliva collection days, and minutes between the waking and 30 min postwaking saliva samples) that are known to be associated with the development of depression or HPA axis functioning. Waking time was determined via self-report of waking time on saliva collection days, and minutes between the waking and 30 min postwaking saliva sampling. At this assessment, participants reported a wide range of depressive symptoms at both assessments, with 42.7% and 32.6% of the sample exceeding the clinical cutoff at the first (age 17–18) and second assessments (age 19–20), respectively. See Table 1 for descriptive statistics for all key continuous variables in the analyses and the bivariate correlations between them.

Family household income, parent education, time between assessments, waking time on saliva sampling days, and minutes between waking and 30-min postwaking sample were not associated with any of the key variables of interest, ps > .11. Female participants reported higher depressive symptoms at age 19–20 than male participants, $p = .045$. Asian participants exhibited a larger CAR than any other ethnic group, $p = .018$, participants with a higher BMI exhibited a smaller CAR, $p = .032$, and participants reporting more depressive symptoms at age 17–18 also had nonsignificantly larger CARs, $p = .055$, more sleep disturbances, $p < .001$, and greater depressive symptoms at age 19–20, $p < .001$. Thus, we tested our models with and without sex, ethnic background, BMI, and depressive symptoms at age 17–18.

Sleep as a prospective predictor of depressive symptoms

Individuals who reported more sleep disturbance also reported higher depressive symptoms 2 years later, $b = 1.15$, $SE = .28$, $p < .001$, and reports of sleep disturbance accounted for 10% of the variance in later depressive symptoms, $R^2 = .10$, $F (1, 155) = 17.24$, $p < .001$. The prospective association between sleep and depressive symptoms 2 years later remained significant after accounting for sex, Asian ethnic background, and BMI, $b = .88$, $SE = .31$, $p = .005$. However, sleep disturbances at age 18 were not a significant predictor of later depressive symptoms when accounting for concurrent depressive symptoms, $b = .14$, $SE = .32$, $p = .67$.²

Sleep disturbance and diurnal indices of HPA axis functioning

Greater sleep disturbance was associated with having a larger CAR, $b = .68$, $SE = .26$, $p = .01$, and sleep disturbance accounted for 20% of variability in CAR, $R^2 = .20$, $F (1, 155) = 6.76$, $p = .010$. This pattern did not change when adjusting for covariates (age 17–18 depressive symptoms, sex, ethnic background, and BMI), $b = .75$, $SE = .32$, $p = .02$. Sleep disturbance was not associated with the slope of diurnal cortisol decline, $b = .02$, $SE = .02$, $p = .24$. This pattern did not change when adjusting for covariates, $b = .02$, $SE = .02$, $p = .31$. See Table 2 for the results of these models.

HPA axis functioning and depressive symptoms

We then examined the association between diurnal indices of HPA axis functioning and depressive symptoms 2 years later. Having a larger CAR was associated with having more depressive symptoms 2 years later, $b = .015$, $SE = .007$, $p = .023$. This observation remained when covariates were added to the model, $b = .019$, $SE = .009$, $p = .030$. Overall, the covariate adjusted model of sleep

2. Given that the CES-D includes an item about sleep disturbance, “Your sleep was restless,” we also tested our results with this item removed. There were no differences in any of our findings when this item was removed. To this end and in order to make our sample descriptives optimally comparable with other published studies, we have only reported our results with the entire scale.
problems and CAR accounted for 27% of variance in depressive symptoms 2 years later, \( R^2 = .27 \), \( F = 7.98 \), \( p < .001 \). See Table 3 for results of the direct and total models predicting age 19–20 depressive symptoms.

Diurnal cortisol slope was not associated with depressive symptoms 2 years later, \( b = -0.03 \), \( SE = 1.25 \), \( p = .98 \), and this pattern did not change when adjusting for covariates, \( b = -0.05 \), \( SE = 1.24 \), \( p = .97 \).

Cortisol awakening response mediates the association between sleep and depressive symptoms

Finally, we tested whether CAR mediated the link between sleep disturbances and later depressive symptoms. In the unadjusted model, there was a significant total effect of sleep disturbance and CAR on depressive symptoms 2 years later, \( c = 1.15 \), \( SE = 0.28 \), 95% CI [0.60, 1.69]. This total effect was composed of a significant direct effect of sleep disturbance on later depressive symptoms, \( c^1 = 1.04 \), \( SE = 0.28 \), 95% CI [0.49, 1.60], and a significant indirect effect of sleep disturbance on later depressive symptoms through CAR, \( ab = 0.10 \), \( SE = 0.07 \), 95% CI [0.005, 0.31]. See Figure 2 for the results of the adjusted model depicting the significant indirect effect of sleep on later depressive symptoms via CAR at the time of the sleep assessment. The indirect effect of sleep disturbances on later depressive symptoms via a larger CAR remained significant after including previous depressive symptoms, sex, BMI, and ethnicity in the model, adjusted \( ab = 0.14 \), \( SE = 0.09 \), 95% CI [0.02, 0.39]. The fully unstandardized and adjusted indirect effect was small, \( d = 0.04 \), \( SE = 0.02 \), 95% CI [0.0001, 0.09].

This suggests that sleep disturbance is associated with depressive symptoms 2 years later through the impact on CAR, independent of concurrent depressive symptoms at the time of the sleep assessment, though there are likely other mediators contributing to this phenomenon.

Discussion

Sleep disturbance among adolescents is rampant with far-reaching health consequences (Shochat, Cohen-Zion, & Tzischinsky, 2014), including increased risk for depression and depressive symptoms. Reports of both sleep disturbance and depressive symptoms across the transition from late adolescence to early adulthood were high in our sample, although consistent with that observed in other adolescent samples (Doane, Gress-Smith, & Breitenstein, 2015). Yet, not all adolescents who experience sleep disturbances will later develop depression. We found that greater symptoms of sleep disturbance were associated with depressive symptoms 2 years later through the effects of sleep disturbance on CAR. These results extend previous observations that both sleep disturbance and CAR prospectively predict depressive symptoms while also extending these findings to show that the effect of sleep disturbance on functioning of the HPA axis may contribute to the pathogenesis of depression over time.

There are several possibilities for how sleep disturbance may be contributing to larger CAR. First, sleep deprivation may operate as a psychobiological stressor to the body. CAR increases in the context of daily stressors as a way of mobilizing resources to meet the demands of the day (Fries, Dettenborn, & Kirschbaum, 2009). Further, experimental sleep deprivation causes individuals to mount larger cortisol responses to stress (Minkel et al., 2014; van Dalfsen & Markus, 2018). Second, sleep deprivation may alter adrenal sensitivity to ACTH. A combination of animal and human research has argued that the magnitude of cortisol increase in response to waking is a measure of adrenal sensitivity to ACTH (Clow, Hucklebridge, Stalder, Evans, & Thorn, 2010). Briefly, ACTH receptors in the adrenal gland are inactive during sleep, thus inhibiting the synthesis and secretion of cortisol. Upon waking, the immediate rise in glucocorticoids represents the sensitivity of adrenal ACTH receptors. There is strong experimental evidence from animal models that sleep deprivation increases adrenal sensitivity to ACTH (Meerlo et al., 2008; Suchecki et al., 1998), as evidenced by less secretion of ACTH necessary to stimulate a robust glucocorticoid response following stress. These two mechanisms are not mutually exclusive, and both may be at play in this sample. This may be
especially true during adolescence when sleep disturbance can largely be attributed to the distress and time constraints associated with social and academic demands. The amount of sleep necessary to achieve academically is 1 hr shorter in adolescence than that needed for optimal mental health (Fuligni, Arruda, Krull, & Gonzales, 2018).

Consistent with several previous studies (Adam et al., 2010; Kuhlman et al., 2017; Vrshek-Schallhorn et al., 2013), a larger CAR was associated with greater depressive symptoms 2 years later in this sample. Enhanced adrenal sensitivity to ACTH is one of the earliest HPA axis dysregulations observed in depressed patients (Ehlert, Gaab, & Heinrichs, 2001). One of the implications of enhanced adrenal sensitivity to ACTH is increased exposure to circulating glucocorticoids. Glucocorticoids increase attention to negatively valenced information and impede retrieval of affective memory, which may play a direct role in effective emotion regulation, coping with stressful life events, and the pathogenesis of depression (Erickson, Drevets, & Schulkin, 2003; Lupien, McEwen, Gunnar, & Heim, 2009). In addition, increased adrenal sensitivity may play an indirect role in the pathogenesis of depression through inflammation. Glucocorticoids play a central role in regulating inflammation (Baschant & Tuckermann, 2010). However, chronic exposure to glucocorticoids can induce glucocorticoid resistance within immune cells leaving inflammation to be poorly regulated, which has also been linked to the pathogenesis of depression (Miller, Maletic, & Raison, 2009). Here we provide evidence that sleep disturbance, a disrupted basic physiological process and source of psychological distress, may be an important behavioral factor that leads to depression through an index of HPA axis functioning, namely, CAR.

In contrast, we did not find that variability in diurnal cortisol slope prospectively predicted depression, nor that diurnal cortisol slope mediated the link between sleep problems and depressive symptoms over time. While CAR may indicate adrenal sensitivity to ACTH, diurnal cortisol regulation is largely controlled by the hypothalamus in an effort to maintain homeostasis throughout the body (Tsigos & Chrousos, 2002) and by clock genes in the adrenal cortex that maintain circadian regulation of cortisol release (Oster et al., 2006). The specificity of our results to CAR and not diurnal cortisol slope may underscore the utility of developing a better understanding of how different HPA axis indices represent underlying neurobiology in ways that increase our understanding of the pathogenesis of disease. Certainly, all HPA axis indices measured using cortisol represent possible dysregulations at any point in the hormonal cascade; our results suggest that increases in CAR magnitude may be a more sensitive measure of alterations to HPA axis function that have long-term implications for health in a fairly healthy community sample.

It is important to acknowledge that sleep disturbances were not directly related to depressive symptoms 2 years later after controlling for past depressive symptoms. This was true even when the sleep item in the depressive symptom inventory was removed from the scale. This observation in our data introduces the possibility that depressive symptoms are maintained over time, in part,

| Table 2. Unstandardized coefficient estimates predicting age 17–18 CAR and diurnal slope from sleep disturbances over the past month and key covariates |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Predictor | $R^2$ | $b$ (SE) | $p$ | $R^2$ | $b$ (SE) | $p$ |
| Intercept | .16 | .0003 | .04 | -1.44 (0.30) | <.001 |
| Sleep disturbance | 9.38 (4.32) | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 (0.02) | .31 |
| Sex | 0.75 (0.32) | .02 | 0.007 | 0.0001 (0.01) | .95 |
| Ethnicity | 0.70 (1.67) | .68 | 0.001 | 0.001 (0.01) | .28 |
| BMI | 5.98 (2.19) | .07 | 0.01 | 0.01 (0.01) | .95 |
| Depressive symptoms | 0.07 (0.09) | .48 | 0.01 | 0.01 (0.01) | .28 |

| Table 3. Unstandardized coefficient estimates predicting age 19–20 depressive symptoms from age 17–18 sleep disturbances, CAR, and key covariates |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Predictor | $R^2$ | $b$ (SE) | $p$ | $R^2$ | $b$ (SE) | $p$ |
| Intercept | .24 | <.001 | .27 | <.001 |
| Sleep disturbance | 9.38 (4.26) | .97 | 1.30 (4.28) | .66 |
| Sex | 0.75 (0.32) | 0.67 | 0.06 (0.32) | .99 |
| Ethnicity | 2.38 (1.65) | .15 | 2.25 (1.63) | .17 |
| BMI | 0.93 (2.16) | .67 | 0.20 (2.19) | .93 |
| Depressive symptoms | 0.25 (0.15) | .11 | 0.31 (0.15) | .05 |
| CAR | 0.47 (0.09) | <.001 | 0.46 (0.09) | <.001 |
| Sex | — | — | 0.19 (0.09) | .030 |
through upregulations in CAR or other neuroendocrine indices. However, this does not appear to be the case in our sample. Both the bivariate and adjusted associations between age 17–18 depressive symptoms and CAR were nonsignificant (see Tables 1 and 2). This may indicate that independent of whether an individual is currently experiencing clinically significant depressive symptoms, persistent sleep disturbances have the potential to upregulate CAR and may presage depressive symptoms over time. Alternatively, it may suggest that among depressed adolescents, it is the sleep disturbance symptoms that have implications for HPA axis function, which presage broader symptom maintenance. This is critical for clinical scientists to better understand because sleep disturbance may also be an indicator of depression severity. For example, depressed adolescents with sleep disturbance report more severe depressive symptoms (McGlinchey, Reyes-Portillo, Turner, & Mufson, 2017), and measures of sleep disturbance prospectively predict suicidal ideation in young adults above and beyond depressive symptoms (Bernert, Hom, Iwata, & Joiner, 2017). Future investigations may consider testing other social, behavioral, and biological factors that mediate the link between specific depressive symptoms and the emergence of depression over time.

The results of this study should be considered within the context of its limitations. First, despite the longitudinal nature of the study design, these results are correlational, and causal associations cannot be inferred. While the period of sleep disturbances assessed by the PSQI in this sample entirely preceded saliva collection days, these occurred in close proximity to one another. Thus, it is likely that the sleep disturbances reported on the PSQI were sustained during the CAR collection period. However, average sleep time on the nights preceding saliva collection did not differ between adolescents with and without clinically significant sleep problems, \( p = .53 \). That being said, CAR is a relatively unstable neuroendocrine index in part due to day-to-day variability in sleep, diet, mood, and other factors (Kühlman, Robles, Dickinson, Reynolds, & Repetti, 2019; Ross, Murphy, Adam, Chen, & Miller, 2014). The results of our models do not change when accounting for sleep duration on the nights preceding each day of saliva sampling. A more comprehensive assessment of the distal and proximal characteristics of poor sleep as predictors of CAR and other measures of neuroendocrine functioning will be important considerations in studies aiming to replicate and extend these results. There is a bidirectional association between sleep problems and depressive symptoms in both adolescents (Roberts & Duong, 2014) and adults (Bouwmans, Conradi, Bos, Oldehinkel, & de Jonge, 2017). Thus, only experimental studies of sleep deprivation or interventions could truly inform the causal associations between sleep disturbance, CAR, and depression. Further, there are likely a number of important behavioral, cognitive, and biological mediators that explain the link between sleep problems and depressive symptoms that need to be identified and interrogated as mechanisms of action in effective depression prevention trials that target sleep. Sleep disturbance and depression in this study were operationalized using a continuous measure of symptoms assessed via a self-report questionnaire. Thus, no confirmation of a depressive episode or insomnia was made, and exploration of how these processes may differ when sleep is measured objectively would contribute further to our understanding. However, the data supporting the external validity of the CES-D (Stockings et al., 2015) as well as the consistency of our results with previous studies looking at both sleep (Alvaro, Roberts, & Harris, 2013) and CAR (Adam et al., 2010; Vrshek-Schallhorn et al., 2013) as prospective predictors of diagnosed episodes of depression inspire confidence. Further, self-report of sleep problems, often via the PSQI, remains the most common method of assessing insomnia in clinical settings, thus underscoring the potential translational utility of our findings to clinical scientists and practitioners. That being said, the internal reliability of the PSQI in our sample was lower than previously published samples, suggesting that the sleep problems reported in our sample may be more reflective of the adolescence-specific constraints on sleep (e.g., homework, school start time, and socializing) than insomnia, for which this measure was validated. Finally, our measure of CAR in this study was computed using the difference between cortisol at waking and 30 min after waking. Our measures of CAR are largely consistent with best practices in the measurement of CAR (Stalder et al., 2016), however differ somewhat from the most updated best practices, which suggest examining the area under the curve across three or more morning samples and recommend using track cap compliance devices (e.g.,
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MEMS caps) for sample collection. As CAR magnitude becomes established as a prospective predictor of depression in more samples, it will be important to further characterize the most sensitive measures of CAR that capture this underlying neurobiological process. In particular, 34.4% of our participants did not exhibit a CAR. This is similar to the rates of CAR nonresponse observed in other samples (Kuhlman et al., 2016, 2017), although the factors underlying the absence of CAR still need to be characterized.

**Conclusions**

The prevalence of sleep disturbance and depression are both high during adolescence. The best available interventions for adolescent depression only remit 37% of depressive episodes (TADS Team, 2012), and treating depression during adolescence does not yet appear to reduce the costs of adolescent depression to society, such as later health service utilization (Lewinsohn, Rohde, & Seeley, 1999b). In contrast, sleep problems can be effectively treated in adolescents (Blake et al., 2017), which appear to also confer reductions in depressive symptoms. Given that adolescent depression is associated with a more severe course of illness (Rohde et al., 2012), intervening in a preventative manner on a core risk factor such as sleep may be a lucrative area of further investigation. Here we identify a biological pathway through which sleep disturbance may lead to depression over time, namely, via the impact of sleep disturbance on CAR. This finding may afford greater precision in preventing adolescent depression. Furthermore, CAR is heritable and ecologically valid (Fries et al., 2009; Wüst, Federenko, Hellhammer, & Kirschbaum, 2000), making it a candidate biomarker for future studies evaluating the effectiveness of sleep interventions aimed at depression prevention.
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